arXiv:math/0411661v1 [math.KT] 30 Nov 2004

Loday–Quillen–Tsygan Theorem for Coalgebras

Atabey Kaygun

February 1, 2008

1 Introduction

The original Loday–Quillen–Tsygan Theorem (LQT) is proven by Loday and Quillen [13] and independently by Tsygan [20]. It states that the ordinary Lie homology (here referred as Chevalley–Eilenberg–Lie homology) of the Lie algebra of the infinite matrices $gl(A)$ over an unital associative algebra A is generated by the cyclic homology of A as an exterior algebra. Although Lie algebras have been studied extensively, their non-commutative counterparts, Leibniz algebras and their homology, are defined only recently by Loday [12]. In this setting, LQT is extended by Cuvier [5] proving Leibniz homology (here referred as Chevalley–Eilenberg–Leibniz homology) of $gl(A)$ is generated by the Hochschild homology of A as a tensor algebra. There is also a slightly different proof of Cuvier's result by Oudom [17] by using a specific filtration on the Chevalley–Eilenberg–Leibniz complex of $gl(A)$ and a spectral sequence. All of these proofs and the proof we present here for the coalgebras rely heavily on Weyl's invariant theory [11, Chapter 9]. We would like to mention that in [1] Aboughazi and Ogle gave an alternative proof of LQT which did not use Weyl's invariant theory. There is also a general LQT type result for algebras over operads by Fresse [6].

Coassociative coalgebras and their homologies received some attention recently in the context of Hopf and bialgebra cyclic (co)homology [2, 3, 7, 9]. On the other hand, Lie coalgebras have not received much attention in their own right [15, 18, 4] even though they appear as auxillary structures in important results such as Hinich's explanation [8] of Tamarkin's proof of Kontsevic Formality Theorem [19]. The only reference to Leibniz coalgebras we found was in Livernet [10].

In this paper we prove that LQT generalizes to the case of coalgebras. Specifically, we show that the Chevalley–Eilenberg–Lie homology of the Lie coalgebra of matrices $gl^c(C)$ over a coassociative coalgebra C is generated by the cyclic homology of the underlying coalgebra C as an exterior algebra.

Here is the plan of this paper. In Section 2 we give a self-contained account of coassociative, Leibniz and Lie coalgebras and their comodules. In Section 3 and Section 4 we develop the bar and Hochschild homology theories for coassociative coalgebras. In Section 5 we define several homology theories for Leibniz coalgebras including Chevalley–Eilenberg–Leibniz homology and symmetric Chevalley–Eilenberg–Leibniz homology which we call as Chevalley–Eilenberg–Lie homology. In Section 6 we connect all of these homology theories together for the Lie coalgebra $Lie(C)$ of a coassociative coalgebra C. Section 7 contains several results about matrix coalgebras. Finally, in Section 8 we prove Loday–Quillen–Tsygan Theorem for coassociative counital coalgebras.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Henri Moscovici for his helpful remarks.

Throughout this paper, we will assume that k is a field of characteristic 0. The tensor products are taken over k and are denoted by $\otimes$.

2 Coalgebras and their comodules

Definition 2.1. A k–module C is called a coassociative coalgebra if there is morphism of k–modules $C \xrightarrow{\Delta} C \otimes C$ such that

$$(id_C \otimes \Delta)\Delta = (\Delta \otimes id_C)\Delta \tag{2.1}$$

C is called counital if there is a morphism of k–modules $C \xrightarrow{\eta} k$ such that

$$(\eta \otimes id_C)\Delta = id_C = (id_C \otimes \eta)\Delta \tag{2.2}$$

We will use Sweedler's notation and denote $\Delta(c)$ by $\sum_c c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}$ for any $c \in C$.

Definition 2.2. Assume (C, Δ, η) is a counital coassociative coalgebra. A k–module X is called a left C–comodule iff there is a morphism of k–modules $X \xrightarrow{\rho_X} C \otimes X$ such that

$$(id_C \otimes \rho_X)\rho_X = (\Delta \otimes id_X)\rho_X \tag{2.3}$$

$$(\eta \otimes id_C)\rho_X = id_X \tag{2.4}$$

Right C–comodules and C–bicomodules are defined similarly. Again, we will use Sweedler's notation and denote $\rho_X(x)$ by $\sum_x x_{(-1)} \otimes x_{(0)}$ for any $x \in X$ whenever X is a left C–comodule.

Definition 2.3. A k–module L is called a Leibniz coalgebra iff there is a morphism of k–modules $L \xrightarrow{\delta} L \otimes L$ such that

$$(id_3 - (id_1 \otimes \tau_2))(\delta \otimes id_1)\delta = (id_1 \otimes \delta)\delta \tag{2.5}$$

where $L^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{\tau_2} L^{\otimes 2}$ is the permutation of the factors. We will use Sweedler's notation and denote $\delta(x)$ by $x_{[1]} \otimes x_{[2]}$ for any $x \in L$. Then, for any $x \in L$ we have

$$\sum x_{[1][1]} \otimes x_{[1][2]} \otimes x_{[2]} - x_{[1][1]} \otimes x_{[2]} \otimes x_{[1][2]} = \sum x_{[1]} \otimes x_{[2][1]} \otimes x_{[2][2]} \tag{2.6}$$

The morphism δ is called a cobracket.

Definition 2.4. A Leibniz coalgebra (L, δ) is called a Lie coalgebra iff L is a Leibniz coalgebra and the cobracket δ is anti-symmetric, i.e.

$$(id_2 + \tau_2)\delta = 0 \tag{2.7}$$

Lemma 2.5. *Let* (C, Δ) *be a coassociative coalgebra. Let* $\delta = (id_2 - \tau_2)\Delta$*. Then* (C, δ) *is a Lie coalgebra. This Lie coalgebra associated with a coassociative coalgebra* (C, Δ) *is denoted by* $(Lie(C), \delta)$*.*

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$(id_2 + \tau_2)\delta(x) = (id_2 + \tau_2)(1 - \tau_2)\Delta(x) = (1 - \tau_2^2)\Delta(x) = 0$$

For the coJacobi condition coassociativity will play an important role. Since Δ is coassociative, we can write

$$\delta(x) = x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} - x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&(id_3 - (id_1 \otimes \tau_2))(\delta \otimes id_1)\delta(x) \\
&\qquad =(id_3 - (id_1 \otimes \tau_2))(\delta \otimes id_1)\left(x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} - x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)}\right) \\
&\qquad =(id_3 - (id_1 \otimes \tau_2))\left(x_{(1)(1)} \otimes x_{(1)(2)} \otimes x_{(2)} - x_{(1)(2)} \otimes x_{(1)(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}\right) \\
&\qquad\quad + (id_3 - (id_1 \otimes \tau_2))\left(-x_{(2)(1)} \otimes x_{(2)(2)} \otimes x_{(1)} + x_{(2)(2)} \otimes x_{(2)(1)} \otimes x_{(1)}\right) \\
&\qquad =(id_3 - (id_1 \otimes \tau_2))\left(x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(3)} - x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(3)}\right) \\
&\qquad\quad + (id_3 - (id_1 \otimes \tau_2))\left(-x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(3)} \otimes x_{(1)} + x_{(3)} \otimes x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)}\right) \\
&\qquad =x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(3)} - x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(3)} \otimes x_{(2)} - x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(3)} + x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(3)} \otimes x_{(1)} \\
&\qquad\quad - x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(3)} \otimes x_{(1)} + x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(3)} + x_{(3)} \otimes x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)} - x_{(3)} \otimes x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}
\end{aligned}$$

and after the cancellations we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(id_3 - (id_1 \otimes \tau_2))(\delta \otimes id_1)\delta(x) =& x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(3)} - x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(3)} \otimes x_{(2)} \\
&+ x_{(3)} \otimes x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)} - x_{(3)} \otimes x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} \\
=& x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)(1)} \otimes x_{(2)(2)} - x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)(2)} \otimes x_{(2)(1)} \\
&+ x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)(1)} \otimes x_{(1)(2)} - x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)(2)} \otimes x_{(1)(2)} \\
=& x_{(1)} \otimes \delta(x_{(2)}) + x_{(2)} \otimes \delta(x_{(1)}) \\
=& (id_1 \otimes \delta)\delta(x)
\end{aligned}$$

as we wanted to show. ☐

Definition 2.6. Let L be a Leibniz coalgebra. A k–module X is called a right L–comodule iff there is a morphism of k–modules $X \xrightarrow{\rho_X} X \otimes L$ such that

$$x_{[0][0]} \otimes x_{[0][1]} \otimes x_{[1]} - x_{[0][0]} \otimes x_{[1]} \otimes x_{[0][1]} = x_{[0]} \otimes x_{[1][1]} \otimes x_{[1][2]} \tag{2.8}$$

for any $x \in X$ where we write $\rho_X(x) = x_{[0]} \otimes x_{[1]}$

Lemma 2.7. *Let X and Y be two right L–comodule. Then $X \otimes Y$ has a right L–comodule structure.*

Proof. Let $(X \otimes Y) \xrightarrow{\rho_{X \otimes Y}} (X \otimes Y) \otimes L$ be defined as

$$\rho_{X \otimes Y}(x \otimes y) = (x_{[0]} \otimes y) \otimes x_{[1]} + (x \otimes y_{[0]}) \otimes y_{[1]} \tag{2.9}$$

for any $(x \otimes y)$ from $X \otimes Y$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&(x \otimes y)_{[0][0]} \otimes (x \otimes y)_{[0][1]} \otimes (x \otimes y)_{[1]} - (x \otimes y)_{[0][0]} \otimes (x \otimes y)_{[1]} \otimes (x \otimes y)_{[0][1]} \\
&\qquad =(x_{[0][0]} \otimes y) \otimes x_{[0][1]} \otimes x_{[1]} + (x_{[0]} \otimes y_{[0]}) \otimes x_{[1]} \otimes y_{[1]} + (x_{[0]} \otimes y_{[0]}) \otimes y_{[1]} \otimes x_{[1]} \\
&\qquad\quad + (x \otimes y_{[0][0]}) \otimes y_{[0][1]} \otimes y_{[1]} - (x_{[0][0]} \otimes y) \otimes x_{[1]} \otimes x_{[0][1]} - (x_{[0]} \otimes y_{[0]}) \otimes y_{[1]} \otimes x_{[1]} \\
&\qquad\quad - (x_{[0]} \otimes y_{[0]}) \otimes x_{[1]} \otimes y_{[1]} - (x \otimes y_{[0][0]}) \otimes y_{[1]} \otimes y_{[0][1]} \\
&\qquad =(x_{[0][0]} \otimes y) \otimes x_{[0][1]} \otimes x_{[1]} - (x_{[0][0]} \otimes y) \otimes x_{[1]} \otimes x_{[0][1]} \\
&\qquad\quad + (x \otimes y_{[0][0]}) \otimes y_{[0][1]} \otimes y_{[1]} - (x \otimes y_{[0][0]}) \otimes y_{[1]} \otimes y_{[0][1]} \\
&\qquad =(x_{[0]} \otimes y) \otimes x_{[1][1]} \otimes x_{[1][2]} + (x \otimes y_{[0]}) \otimes y_{[1][1]} \otimes y_{[1][2]} \\
&\qquad =(x \otimes y)_{[0]} \otimes (x \otimes y)_{[1][1]} \otimes (x \otimes y)_{[1][2]}
\end{aligned}$$

as we wanted to show. ☐

Remark 2.8. For a Leibniz coalgebra L, kernels and cokernels in the category of L–comodules are defined as kernels and cokernels in the category of k–modules. Then the category of L–comodules is an abelian subcategory of the category of k–modules.

Theorem 2.9. *Let (C, Δ) be a coassociative coalgebra. Then there is a functor from the category of left C–comodules into the category of left $Lie(C)$–comodules of the form C–**Comod** $\xrightarrow{Lie}$ $Lie(C)$–**Comod**.*

Proof. The functor Lie is identity on both object and morphisms. In order to show that $Lie(X)$ is a $Lie(C)$–comodule whenever $X \xrightarrow{\rho_X} C \otimes X$ is a C–comodule. We need to define a $Lie(C)$–comodule structure $X \xrightarrow{\rho_X} Lie(C) \otimes X$. So, take the same ρ_X. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x_{[0][0]} \otimes x_{[0][1]} \otimes x_{[1]} - x_{[0][0]} \otimes x_{[1]} \otimes x_{[0][1]} =& x_{(0)} \otimes x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} - x_{(0)} \otimes x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)} \\ =& x_{(0)} \otimes x_{(1)[1]} \otimes x_{(1)[2]} \\ =& x_{[0]} \otimes x_{[1][1]} \otimes x_{[1][2]} \end{aligned}$$

which proves ρ_X defines $Lie(C)$–comodule structure on X. ☐

Definition 2.10. Let L be a Leibniz coalgebra and $M \xrightarrow{\rho_M} M \otimes L$ be a right L–comodule. Then M^L the subcomodule of C invariants of M is defined as

$$M^L = ker(M \xrightarrow{\rho_M} M \otimes L)$$

3 The bar complex

From this section on, assume C is a counital coassociative coalgebra. Let $Lie(C)$ be the Lie coalgebra associated with the coassociative coalgebra C.

Definition 3.1. Let $CB_*(C) := \{C^{\otimes n}\}_{n \geq 0}$ and define $d_0^{CB} = 0$ and also let

$$d_n^{CB} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j (id_j \otimes \Delta \otimes id_{n-1-j}) \tag{3.1}$$

for any $n \geq 1$.

Theorem 3.2. *$CB_*(C)$ is a differential graded algebra.*

Proof. For $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ define morphisms ∂_j as

$$\partial_j(c^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c^n) = (id_j \otimes \Delta \otimes id_{n-j})(c^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c^n) = (\cdots \otimes c^{j+1}_{(1)} \otimes c^{j+1}_{(2)} \otimes \cdots) \tag{3.2}$$

One can check that, for $i < j$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_j \partial_i (c^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes c^n) =& \begin{cases} (\cdots \otimes c^{i+1}_{(1)} \otimes c^{i+1}_{(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes c^{j}_{(1)} \otimes c^{j}_{(2)} \otimes \cdots) & \text{if } i+1 < j \\ (\cdots \otimes c^{i+1}_{(1)} \otimes c^{i+1}_{(2)} \otimes c^{i+1}_{(3)} \otimes \cdots) & \text{if } i+1 = j \end{cases} \\ =& \partial_i \partial_{j-1} (c^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes c^n) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $d_n^{CB} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^j\partial_j$ is a differential. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{n+m}^{CB} =& \sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{j-1}(id_{j-1}\otimes\Delta\otimes id_{n-j})\otimes id_m + \sum_{j=1}^{m}(-1)^{n+j-1}id_n\otimes(id_{j-1}\otimes\Delta\otimes id_{m-j}) && (3.3)\\ =&(d_n^{CB}\otimes id_m)+(-1)^n(id_n\otimes d_m^{CB}) && (3.4)\end{aligned}$$

And if we take the tensor multiplication as the algebra structure on $CB_*(C)$, then with this equality

$$d_{n+m}^{CB}(\Psi\otimes\Phi) = (d_n^{CB}(\Psi)\otimes\Phi) + (-1)^n(\Psi\otimes d_m^{CB}(\Phi))$$

for any Ψ from $CB_n(C)$ and Φ from $CB_m(C)$ where $n \geq 0$ and $m \geq 0$ are arbitrary, This proves that $CB_*(C)$ is a differential graded k–algebra. □

Theorem 3.3. *$CB_*(C)$ is a differential graded $Lie(C)$–comodule.*

Proof. The comodule structure is given by the diagonal coaction

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_n(x^1\otimes\cdots\otimes x^n) =& \sum_{j=1}^{n}(\cdots\otimes x^j_{[1]}\otimes\cdots)\otimes x^j_{[2]}\\ =&\sum_{j=1}^{n}(\cdots\otimes x^j_{(1)}\otimes\cdots)\otimes x^j_{(2)} - \sum_{j=1}^{n}(\cdots\otimes x^j_{(2)}\otimes\cdots)\otimes x^j_{(1)} && (3.5)\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.7 proves that $CB_*(C)$ is a graded $Lie(C)$–comodule. In order to show that $CB_*(C)$ is a differential graded $Lie(C)$–comodule, we must show that the coaction and the differentials commute. In other words we must show $\rho_{n+1}d_n^{CB} = (d_n^{CB}\otimes id_1)\rho_n$ for any $n \geq 0$. So, consider

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{n+1}d_n^{CB}(x^1\otimes\cdots\otimes x^n) =& \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\sum_{j=1}^{i}(-1)^i(\cdots\otimes x^j_{[1]}\otimes\cdots\otimes x^{i+1}_{(1)}\otimes x^{i+1}_{(2)}\otimes\cdots)\otimes x^j_{[2]}\\ &+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(-1)^i(\cdots\otimes x^{i+1}_{(1)[1]}\otimes x^{i+1}_{(2)}\otimes\cdots)\otimes x^{i+1}_{(1)[2]}\\ &+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(-1)^i(\cdots\otimes x^{i+1}_{(1)}\otimes x^{i+1}_{(2)[1]}\otimes\cdots)\otimes x^{i+1}_{(2)[2]}\\ &+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\sum_{j=i+3}^{n}(-1)^i(\cdots\otimes x^{i+1}_{(1)}\otimes x^{i+1}_{(2)}\otimes\cdots\otimes x^{j-1}_{[1]}\otimes\cdots)\otimes x^{j-1}_{[2]}\end{aligned}$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned}&(x_{(1)[1]}\otimes x_{(2)}\otimes x_{(1)[2]}) + (x_{(1)}\otimes x_{(2)[1]}\otimes x_{(2)[2]})\\ &\quad=(x_{(1)}\otimes x_{(3)}\otimes x_{(2)}) - (x_{(2)}\otimes x_{(3)}\otimes x_{(1)}) + (x_{(1)}\otimes x_{(2)}\otimes x_{(3)}) - (x_{(1)}\otimes x_{(3)}\otimes x_{(2)})\\ &\quad=(x_{(1)}\otimes x_{(2)}\otimes x_{(3)}) - (x_{(2)}\otimes x_{(3)}\otimes x_{(1)})\\ &\quad=(x_{(1)(1)}\otimes x_{(1)(2)}\otimes x_{(2)}) - (x_{(2)(1)}\otimes x_{(2)(2)}\otimes x_{(1)})\\ &\quad=(x_{[1](1)}\otimes x_{[1](2)}\otimes x_{[2]})\end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in C$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{n+1} d_n^{CB}(x^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x^n) =& \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+2}^{n-1} (-1)^i (\cdots \otimes x^{i+1}_{(1)} \otimes x^{i+1}_{(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^j_{[1]} \otimes \cdots) \otimes x^j_{[2]} \\
&+ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i (\cdots \otimes x^{i+1}_{[1](1)} \otimes x^{i+1}_{[1](2)} \otimes \cdots) \otimes x^{i+1}_{[2]} \\
&+ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i} (-1)^i (\cdots \otimes x^j_{[1]} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{i+1}_{(1)} \otimes x^{i+1}_{(2)} \otimes \cdots) \otimes x^j_{[2]} \\
=& \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{j-2} (-1)^i (\cdots \otimes x^{i+1}_{(1)} \otimes x^{i+1}_{(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^j_{[1]} \otimes \cdots) \otimes x^j_{[2]} \\
&+ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i (\cdots \otimes x^{i+1}_{[1](1)} \otimes x^{i+1}_{[1](2)} \otimes \cdots) \otimes x^{i+1}_{[2]} \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=j}^{n-1} (-1)^i (\cdots \otimes x^j_{[1]} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{i+1}_{(1)} \otimes x^{i+1}_{(2)} \otimes \cdots) \otimes x^j_{[2]} \\
=& (d_n^{CB} \otimes id_1) \rho_n (x^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x^n)
\end{aligned}$$

as we wanted to show. □

Theorem 3.4. *The $Lie(C)$–comodule structure on $CB_*(C)$ is null-homotopic.*

Proof. We need to provide a null-homotopy, i.e. a morphism of graded modules of the form $CB_*(C) \xrightarrow{h_*} CB_*(C)[-1] \otimes Lie(C)$ which satisfies $(d_{n-1}^{CB} \otimes id_C) h_n + h_{n+1} d_n^{CB} = \rho_n$. Define

$$h_n(x^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x^n) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^j (\cdots \otimes \widehat{x^j} \otimes \cdots) \otimes x^j \tag{3.6}$$

for any $(x^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x^n)$ from $CB_n(C)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(-1)^i h_{n+1} \partial_i (x^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x^n) =& \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^{i+j+1} (\cdots \otimes \widehat{x^{j+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{i+1}_{(1)} \otimes x^{i+1}_{(2)} \otimes \cdots) \otimes x^{j+1} \\
&+ (\cdots \otimes x^{i+1}_{[1]} \otimes \cdots) \otimes x^{i+1}_{[2]} \\
&+ \sum_{j=i+2}^{n} (-1)^{i+j+1} (\cdots \otimes x^{i+1}_{(1)} \otimes x^{i+1}_{(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{x^j} \otimes \cdots) \otimes x^j
\end{aligned}$$

By shifting the indices on the last sum and taking sum over all $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{n+1} d_n^{CB}(x^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x^n) =& \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^{i+j+1} (\cdots \otimes \widehat{x^{j+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{i+1}_{(1)} \otimes x^{i+1}_{(2)} \otimes \cdots) \otimes x^{j+1} \\
&+ \rho_n (x^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x^n) \\
&+ \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+j} (\cdots \otimes x^{i+1}_{(1)} \otimes x^{i+1}_{(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{x^{j+1}} \otimes \cdots) \otimes x^{j+1}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}(-1)^i(\partial_i\otimes id_1)h_n(x^1\otimes\cdots\otimes x^n) =&\sum_{j=0}^{i}(-1)^{i+j+1}(\cdots\otimes\widehat{x^{j+1}}\otimes\cdots\otimes x^{i+2}_{(1)}\otimes x^{i+2}_{(2)}\otimes\cdots)\otimes x^{j+1}\\ &+\sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1}(-1)^{i+j+1}(\cdots\otimes x^{i+1}_{(1)}\otimes x^{i+1}_{(2)}\otimes\widehat{x^{j+1}}\otimes\cdots)\otimes x^{j+1}\end{aligned}$$

which means

$$\begin{aligned}(d^{CB}_n\otimes id_1)h_n(x^1\otimes\cdots\otimes x^n) =&\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{i-1}(-1)^{i+j}(\cdots\otimes\widehat{x^{j+1}}\otimes\cdots\otimes x^{i+1}_{(1)}\otimes x^{i+1}_{(2)}\otimes\cdots)\otimes x^{j+1}\\ &+\sum_{i=0}^{n-2}\sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1}(-1)^{i+j+1}(\cdots\otimes x^{i+1}_{(1)}\otimes x^{i+1}_{(2)}\otimes\cdots\widehat{x^{j+1}}\otimes\cdots)\otimes x^{j+1}\end{aligned}$$

Then one can easily see that

$$h_{n+1}d^{CB}_n+(d^{CB}_n\otimes id_1)h_n=\rho_n \tag{3.7}$$

for any $n\geq 1$. □

4 Hochschild complex

Remark 4.1. Define an action of $C_n := \langle\tau_n|\tau_n^n\rangle$ the cyclic group of order n on $C^{\otimes n}$ by letting

$$\tau_n^{-1}(c^1\otimes\cdots\otimes c^n)=(c^2\otimes\cdots\otimes c^n\otimes c^1) \tag{4.1}$$

Then observe that $\partial_j=\tau^j_{n+1}\partial_0\tau_n^{-j}$ for any $0\leq j\leq n-1$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $CH_*(C)=\{C^{\otimes n}\}_{n\geq 0}$ and define*

$$d^{CH}_n=d^{CB}_n+(-1)^n\tau^{-1}_{n+1}\partial_0=\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^j\tau^j_{n+1}\partial_0\tau_n^{-j} \tag{4.2}$$

Then $CH_*(C)$ is a differential graded $Lie(C)$–comodule.

Proof. Define $\partial_n=\tau^n_{n+1}\partial_0\tau_n^{-n}=\tau^{-1}_{n+1}\partial_0$ and observe that for $i<j$ one still has

$$\partial_j\partial_i(c^1\otimes\cdots\otimes c^n)=\begin{cases}(\cdots\otimes c^{i+1}_{(1)}\otimes c^{i+1}_{(2)}\otimes\cdots\otimes c^j_{(1)}\otimes c^j_{(2)}\otimes\cdots) & \text{if } i<j+1<n+2\\ (\cdots\otimes c^{i+1}_{(1)}\otimes c^{i+1}_{(2)}\otimes c^{i+1}_{(3)}\otimes\cdots) & \text{if } i+1=j<n+1\\ (c^1_{(2)}\otimes\cdots\otimes c^{i+1}_{(1)}\otimes c^{i+1}_{(2)}\otimes\cdots\otimes c^1_{(1)}) & \text{if } i<n \text{ and } j=n+1\\ (c^1_{(3)}\otimes\cdots\otimes c^1_{(1)}\otimes c^1_{(2)}) & \text{if } i=n \text{ and } j=n+1\end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

$$=\partial_i\partial_{j-1}(c^1\otimes\cdots\otimes c^n) \tag{4.4}$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{n+1}^{CH}d_n^{CH} &= \sum_{j=0}^{n+1}\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i+j}\partial_j\partial_i \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{i}(-1)^{i+j}\partial_j\partial_i + \sum_{i=0}^{n}\sum_{j=i+1}^{n+1}(-1)^{i+j}\partial_j\partial_i \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\sum_{j=0}^{i}(-1)^{i+j}\partial_j\partial_i - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{i=0}^{j}(-1)^{i+j}\partial_i\partial_j = \quad 0
\end{aligned}
$$

as we wanted to show. Recall from Theorem 3.3 that $\rho_n\partial_0 = (\partial_0 \otimes id_1)\rho_n$ for any $n \geq 1$. One can also see that

$$\rho_n\tau_n = (\tau_n \otimes id_1)\rho_n \tag{4.5}$$

for any $n \geq 1$ too. Then $\rho_{n+1}d_n^{CH} = (d_n^{CH} \otimes id_1)\rho_n$ easily follows. □

5 Chevalley–Eilenberg–Leibniz complex

Definition 5.1. Let L be a Leibniz coalgebra. Define a graded k–module $CE_*(L) = \{L^{\otimes n}\}_{n\geq 0}$ and define two degree $+1$ graded morphism

$$\rho_n(l^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes l^n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\Big(\cdots \otimes l^i_{[1]} \otimes \cdots\Big) \otimes l^i_{[2]} \qquad\qquad d_n^{CE} = \sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{j-1}(\rho_j \otimes id_{n-j}) \tag{5.1}$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

Theorem 5.2. *Define $\partial_j = (\rho_{j+1} \otimes id_{n-j-1})$ for any $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. Then $\partial_j\partial_i = \partial_i\partial_{j-1}$ for all $0 \leq i < j$. Therefore $d_n^{CE} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^j\partial_j$ is a differential on $CE_*(L)$.*

Proof. For $0 < i < j$ and $(x^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x^n)$ from $CE_n(L)$ consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\rho_{j+1} \otimes id_{n-j})(\rho_i \otimes id_{n-i})(x^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x^n) \\
&\quad = \sum_{a=1}^{i}\sum_{b=1}^{a-1}(\cdots \otimes x^b_{[1]} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^a_{[1]} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^i \otimes x^a_{[2]} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^j \otimes x^b_{[2]} \otimes \cdots) \\
&\quad\quad + \sum_{a=1}^{i}(\cdots \otimes x^a_{[1][1]} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^i \otimes x^a_{[2]} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^j \otimes x^a_{[1][2]} \otimes \cdots) \qquad (5.2) \\
&\quad\quad + \sum_{a=1}^{i}\sum_{b=a+1}^{i}(\cdots \otimes x^a_{[1]} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^b_{[1]} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^i \otimes x^a_{[2]} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^j \otimes x^b_{[2]} \otimes \cdots) \\
&\quad\quad + \sum_{a=1}^{i}(\cdots \otimes x^a_{[1]} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^i \otimes x^a_{[2][1]} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^j \otimes x^a_{[2][2]} \otimes \cdots) \qquad (5.3) \\
&\quad\quad + \sum_{a=1}^{i}\sum_{b=i+1}^{j+1}(\cdots \otimes x^a_{[1]} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^i \otimes x^a_{[2]} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{b-1}_{[1]} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^j \otimes x^{b-1}_{[2]} \otimes \cdots)
\end{aligned}
$$

But L is a Leibniz coalgebra. Hence

$$(x_{[1][1]} \otimes x_{[2]} \otimes x_{[1][2]}) + (x_{[1]} \otimes x_{[2][1]} \otimes x_{[2][2]}) = (x_{[1][1]} \otimes x_{[1][2]} \otimes x_{[2]})$$

for any x in L. Therefore adding (5.2) and (5.3) one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
(\rho_{j+1}\otimes id_{n-j})(\rho_i\otimes id_{n-i})(x^1\otimes\cdots\otimes x^n)&\\
=&\sum_{a=1}^{i}\sum_{b=1}^{a-1}(\cdots\otimes x^b_{[1]}\otimes\cdots\otimes x^a_{[1]}\otimes\cdots\otimes x^i\otimes x^a_{[2]}\otimes\cdots\otimes x^j\otimes x^b_{[2]}\otimes\cdots)\\
&+\sum_{a=1}^{i}(\cdots\otimes x^a_{[1][1]}\otimes\cdots\otimes x^i\otimes x^a_{[1][2]}\otimes\cdots\otimes x^j\otimes x^a_{[2]}\otimes\cdots)\\
&+\sum_{a=1}^{i}\sum_{b=a+1}^{i}(\cdots\otimes x^a_{[1]}\otimes\cdots\otimes x^b_{[1]}\otimes\cdots\otimes x^i\otimes x^a_{[2]}\otimes\cdots\otimes x^j\otimes x^b_{[2]}\otimes\cdots)\\
&+\sum_{a=1}^{i}\sum_{b=i+1}^{j}(\cdots\otimes x^a_{[1]}\otimes\cdots\otimes x^i\otimes x^a_{[2]}\otimes\cdots\otimes x^b_{[1]}\otimes\cdots\otimes x^j\otimes x^b_{[2]}\otimes\cdots)\\
=&(\rho_i\otimes id_{n+1-i})(\rho_j\otimes id_{n-j})(x^1\otimes\cdots\otimes x^n)
\end{aligned}$$

which proves $\partial_j\partial_{i-1}=\partial_{i-1}\partial_{j-1}$ for all $0<i<j$, This is equivalent to $\partial_j\partial_i=\partial_i\partial_{j-1}$ for all $0\leq i<j$ as we wanted. The proof that d^{CE}_* is a differential is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.2 where we showed d^{CH}_* is a differential. □

Theorem 5.3. *$CE_*(L)$ is a differential graded L–comodule. Moreover, the L–coaction is null-homotopic.*

Proof. The comodule structure is given by the diagonal coaction ρ_*. We must show that $\rho_{n+1}d^{CE}_n=(d^{CE}_n\otimes id_1)\rho_n$ for any $n\geq 1$. Then by using Theorem 5.2 we get

$$\rho_{n+1}d^{CE}_n=\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^j\partial_n\partial_j=\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^j\partial_j\partial_{n-1}=(d^{CE}_n\otimes id_1)\rho_n$$

for any $n\geq 1$, as we wanted to show. In order to show that the coaction is null-homotopic, we must furnish a null-homotopy $CE_*(L)\xrightarrow{i_*}CE_*(L)[-1]\otimes L$. Define

$$i_n(x^1\otimes\cdots\otimes x^n)=(-1)^{n+1}(x^1\otimes\cdots\otimes x^{n-1})\otimes x^n \tag{5.4}$$

Then

$$i_{n+1}d^{CE}_n+(d^{CE}_{n-1}\otimes id_1)i_n=(-1)^{n+2}d^{CE}_n+(-1)^{n+1}(d^{CE}_{n-1}\otimes id_1)=(-1)^n\left(d^{CE}_n-(d^{CE}_{n-1}\otimes id_1)\right)=\rho_n$$

for any $n\geq 1$ as we wanted to show. □

Remark 5.4. One can see that $CE_*(L)$ is not only a differential graded L–comodule but also a pre-cosimplicial L–comodule which is not a cosimplicial L–comodule. We also believe that there is no cyclic, nor a symmetric L–comodule structure on $CE_*(L)$ either.

Lemma 5.5. *The differential d^{CE}_* satisfies the identity*

$$d^{CE}_{p+q}=(d^{CE}_p\otimes id_q)+(-1)^p(id_p\otimes d^{CE}_q)+\sum_j(-1)^{p+j-1}(id_p\otimes\tau^{-1}_{j+1}\otimes id_{q-j})(\rho_p\otimes id_{q+1}) \tag{5.5}$$

for any $p,q\geq 1$.

Proof. Fix $p, q \geq 1$ and consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{p+q}(x^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{p+q}) =& \sum_{j=1}^{p+q} (x^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x^j_{[1]} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{p+q}) \otimes x^j_{[2]} \\
=& \sum_{j=1}^{p} (id_p \otimes \tau_{q+1}^{-1})(x^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x^j_{[1]} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^p \otimes x^j_{[2]} \otimes x^{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{p+q}) \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^{q} (x^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x^p \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{p+j}_{[1]} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{p+q}) \otimes x^{p+j}_{[2]} \\
=& (id_p \otimes \tau_{q+1}^{-1})(\rho_p \otimes id_q)(x^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{p+q}) + (id_p \otimes \rho_q)(x^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{p+q})
\end{aligned}$$

for any $x^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x^n$ from $CE_*(L)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
d^{CE}_{p+q} =& \sum_{j=1}^{p+q} (-1)^{j-1} (\rho_j \otimes id_{p+q-j}) \\
=& \sum_{j=1}^{p} (-1)^{j-1} (\rho_j \otimes id_{p-j}) \otimes id_q + \sum_{j=1}^{q} (-1)^{p+j-1} (\rho_{p+j} \otimes id_{q-j}) \\
=& (d^{CE}_p \otimes id_q) + (-1)^p (id_p \otimes d^{CE}_q) + \sum_j (-1)^{p+j-1} (id_p \otimes \tau_{j+1}^{-1} \otimes id_{q-j})(\rho_p \otimes id_{q+1})
\end{aligned}$$

as we wanted to show. □

Definition 5.6. Define a new differential graded L–comodule by letting $CE^{\text{red}}_*(L) := CE_*(L)^L = ker(\rho_*)$. We will call this complex as the reductive Chevalley–Eilenberg–Leibniz complex.

Theorem 5.7. *$CE^{red}_*(L)$ is a differential graded algebra with tensor multiplication being the underlying product structure.*

Proof. Take Ψ from $CE^{\text{red}}_p(L)$ and Φ from $CE^{\text{red}}_q(L)$ arbitrary. By Lemma 5.5 we see that

$$\rho_{p+q}(\Psi \otimes \Phi) = (id_p \otimes \tau_{q+1}^{-1})(\rho_p(\Psi) \otimes \Phi) + (\Psi \otimes \rho_q(\Phi)) \tag{5.6}$$

which implies $\Psi \otimes \Phi$ is in $CE^{\text{red}}_{p+q}(L)$. The fact that $\rho_p(\Psi) = 0$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}
d^{CE}_{p+q}(\Psi \otimes \Phi) =& (d^{CE}_p(\Psi) \otimes \Phi) + (-1)^p (\Psi \otimes d^{CE}_q(\Phi)) + \sum_j (-1)^{p+j-1} (id_p \otimes \tau_{j+1}^{-1} \otimes id_{q-j})(\rho_p(\Psi) \otimes \Phi) \qquad (5.7) \\
=& (d^{CE}_p(\Psi) \otimes \Phi) + (-1)^p (\Psi \otimes d^{CE}_q(\Phi)) \qquad (5.8)
\end{aligned}$$

as we wanted to show. □

6 Tale of three complexes

Lemma 6.1. *For any $n \geq 0$, one has $(id_{n+1} - (-1)^n \tau_{n+1}^{-1}) d^{CH}_n = d^{CB}_n (id_n - (-1)^{n-1} \tau_n^{-1})$.*

Proof. The proof is by direct calculation. Observe that $\tau_{n+1}^{-1}\partial_i = \partial_{i-1}\tau_n^{-1}$ for any $0 < i \leq n$ and $\tau_{n+1}^{-1}\partial_0 = \partial_n$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}\left(id_{n+1} - (-1)^n\tau_{n+1}^{-1}\right) d_n^{CH} =& \sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^i\partial_i - \sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{n+i}\tau_{n+1}^{-1}\partial_i \\ =& \sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^i\partial_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{n+i}\partial_{i-1}\tau_n^{-1} - (-1)^n\partial_n \\ =& \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(-1)^i\partial_i - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-1+i}\partial_i\tau_n^{-1} \\ =& d_n^{CB}\left(id_n - (-1)^{n-1}\tau_n^{-1}\right)\end{aligned}$$

which proves what we wanted. □

Lemma 6.2. *Let* $N_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{(n-1)j}\tau_n^j$ *for any* $n \geq 1$*. Then* $N_{n+1}d_n^{CB} = d_n^{CH}N_n$*.*

Proof. Proof is by direct calculation. Since $\tau_{n+1}^{-n-1}\partial_0\tau_n^n = \partial_0$, we have

$$\partial_i\tau_n^{-j} = \begin{cases}\tau_{n+1}^{-j}\partial_{i+j} & \text{if } i+j < n \\ \tau_{n+1}^{-j-1}\partial_{i+j-n} & \text{if } i+j \geq n\end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}d_n^{CH}N_n =& \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{(n-1)j+i}\partial_i\tau_n^{-j} \\ =& \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{i=0}^{n-j-1}(-1)^{(n-1)j+i}\tau_{n+1}^{-j}\partial_{i+j} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{i=n-j}^{n}(-1)^{(n-1)j+i}\tau_{n+1}^{-j-1}\partial_{i+j-n} \\ =& \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{i=j}^{n-1}(-1)^{(n-1)j+i+j}\tau_{n+1}^{-j}\partial_i + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{i=0}^{j}(-1)^{(n-1)j+i+j-n}\tau_{n+1}^{-j-1}\partial_i\end{aligned}$$

By switching the order of summation we get

$$\begin{aligned}d_n^{CH}N_n =& \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\sum_{j=0}^{i}(-1)^{nj+i}\tau_{n+1}^{-j}\partial_i + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\sum_{j=i}^{n-1}(-1)^{n(j+1)+i}\tau_{n+1}^{-j-1}\partial_i \\ =& \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\sum_{j=0}^{i}(-1)^{nj+i}\tau_{n+1}^{-j}\partial_i + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\sum_{j=i+1}^{n}(-1)^{nj+i}\tau_{n+1}^{-j}\partial_i \\ =& N_{n+1}d_n^{CB}\end{aligned}$$

as we wanted to show. □

Theorem 6.3. *Let* $t_* = (id_* - (-1)^*\tau_*^{-1})$*. Then there is an exact periodic sequence of differential graded* $Lie(C)$*–comodules of the form*

$$CH_*(C) \xrightarrow{t_*} CB_*(C) \xrightarrow{N_*} CH_*(C) \xrightarrow{t_*} CB_*(C) \xrightarrow{N_*} \cdots \tag{6.2}$$

Proof. Lemma 6.1 shows that $CB_*(C) \xrightarrow{t_*} CH_*(C)$ is a morphism of differential graded k–modules and Lemma 6.2 shows that $CH_*(C) \xrightarrow{N_*} CB_*(C)$ is a morphism differential graded k–modules. One can easily see that $t_*N_* = N_*t_* = 0$. So, in order to prove exactness, we must show that $ker(t_*) = im(N_*)$ and $ker(N_*) = im(t_*)$. Assume $\mathbf{c}$ is in $ker(t_n)$ which means $\tau_n\mathbf{c} = (-1)^{n-1}\mathbf{c}$. Then

$$N_n\mathbf{c} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{(n-1)j}\tau_n^j\mathbf{c} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{(n-1)j}(-1)^{(n-1)j}\mathbf{c} = n\mathbf{c}$$

Hence $\mathbf{c}$ is in $im(N_*)$ since we assume k is a field of characteristic 0. Conversely, assume $\mathbf{c}$ is in $ker(N_*)$. Then

$$n\mathbf{c} = n\mathbf{c} - N_*\mathbf{c} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(id_n - (-1)^{(n-1)j}\tau_n^j\right)\mathbf{c} = \left(id_n - (-1)^{(n-1)}\tau_n\right)\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\sum_{i=0}^{j}(-1)^{(n-1)i}\tau_n^i\mathbf{c}$$

which means $\mathbf{c}$ is in the image of t_*. The fact that both t_* and N_* are morphism of differential graded $Lie(C)$–comodules follows from the Lemma 6.5. ☐

Remark 6.4. The action of C_n on $C^{\otimes n}$ also extends to defining an action of Σ_n on $C^{\otimes n}$. All we need to define is the action of a single transposition. For $n \geq 3$ define

$$(\tau_2 \otimes id_{n-2}) \cdot (x^1 \otimes x^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x^n) = (x^2 \otimes x^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x^n) \tag{6.3}$$

for any $(x^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x^n)$ from $C^{\otimes n}$ and $n \geq 3$.

Lemma 6.5. *Given any $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ for any $n \geq 1$, one has $\rho_n\sigma = (\sigma \otimes id_1)\rho_n$.*

Lemma 6.6. *Define an element $h_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{j+1}(id_j \otimes \tau_{n-j}^{-1})$ in $k[\Sigma_n]$ for any $n \geq 1$. Define also $\epsilon_n = \sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma_n} sgn(\sigma)\sigma$ in $k[\Sigma_n]$. Then one has*

$$(\epsilon_n \otimes id_1)h_{n+1} = (-1)^{n+1}\epsilon_{n+1} \tag{6.4}$$

for any $n \geq 1$.

Proof. One can consider $\Sigma_n \subseteq \Sigma_{n+1}$ as the stabilizer of the element n if one considers the symmetric group on $n+1$ letters as the group of automorphism of the finite set $\{0, \ldots, n\}$. Then we claim

$$\Sigma_{n+1} = \bigsqcup_{j=0}^{n}\Sigma_n\tau_{n+1}^j \tag{6.5}$$

If we assume on the contrary that $\Sigma_n\tau_{n+1}^i = \Sigma_n\tau_{n+1}^j$ for $i \neq j$, then one necessarily has $\tau_{n+1}^{i-j} \in \Sigma_n$ which is not the case since $\tau_{n+1}^{i-j}(n) = n$ only if $i = j$. Now observe that

$$\tau_{n+1}^{-j} = (\tau_n^{-j+1} \otimes id_1)(id_j \otimes \tau_{n+1-j}^{-1}) \tag{6.6}$$

for any $1 \leq j \leq n$. This means

$$\Sigma_{n+1} = \bigsqcup_{j=0}^{n}\Sigma_n(\tau_n^{-j+1} \otimes id_1)(id_j \otimes \tau_{n+1-j}^{-1}) = \bigsqcup_{j=0}^{n}\Sigma_n(id_j \otimes \tau_{n+1-j}^{-1}) \tag{6.7}$$

which finishes the proof. ☐

Theorem 6.7. *There is a morphism of differential graded* $Lie(C)$*–modules of the form* $CB_*(C) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_*} CE_*(Lie(C))$*.*

Proof. We must show that $\epsilon_{n+1}d_n^{CB} = d_n^{CE}\epsilon_n$ for any $n \geq 1$. The proof is by induction on n. First observe that $\partial_0^{CE}(x) = \delta(x) = x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)} - x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)}$ by the definition of $Lie(C)$ and the cobracket associated with $Lie(C)$. Then for $n = 1$ we have

$$\epsilon_2 d_1^{CB} = (id_2 - \tau_2)\partial_0^{CB} = \delta_1 = d_1^{CE}\epsilon_1$$

trivially satisfied. Assume as the induction hypothesis that $\epsilon_{n+1}d_n^{CB} = d_n^{CE}\epsilon_n$. By using Lemma 6.6 and Theorem 3.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_{n+2}d_{n+1}^{CB} =&(-1)^{n+2}(\epsilon_{n+1} \otimes id_1)h_{n+2}d_{n+1}^{CB}\\ =&(-1)^{n+2}(\epsilon_{n+1} \otimes id_1)\left(-(d_n^{CB} \otimes id_1)h_{n+1} + \rho_{n+1}\right)\\ =&(-1)^{n+1}(d_n^{CE} \otimes id_1)(\epsilon_n \otimes id_1)h_{n+1} + (-1)^n(\epsilon_{n+1} \otimes id_1)\rho_{n+1}\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6.5 implies $(\epsilon_{n+1} \otimes id_1)\rho_{n+1} = \rho_{n+1}\epsilon_{n+1}$. Then

$$\epsilon_{n+2}d_{n+1}^{CB} = (d_n^{CE} \otimes id_1)\epsilon_{n+1} + (-1)^n\rho_{n+1}\epsilon_{n+1} = d_{n+1}^{CE}\epsilon_{n+1}$$

for any $n \geq 1$, as we wanted to show. This proves there is a morphism of differential graded $Lie(C)$–comodules of the form $CB_*(C) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_*} CE_*(Lie(C))$. The fact that ϵ_* is a morphism of $Lie(C)$–comodules follows from Lemma 6.5. ☐

Theorem 6.8. *There is a morphism of differential graded* $Lie(C)$*–comodules of the form* $CH_*(C) \xrightarrow{id_1 \otimes \epsilon_{*-1}} CE_*(Lie(C))$*.*

Proof. We must show that $d_n^{CH}(id_1 \otimes \epsilon_{n-1}) = (id_1 \otimes \epsilon_n)d_n^{CE}$ for $n \geq 1$. For $n = 1$, one has

$$d_1^{CH}(x) = (x_{(1)} \otimes x_{(2)}) - (x_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)}) = \rho_1(x) = d_1^{CE}(x)$$

For $n \geq 2$ consider

$$\begin{aligned}(id_1 \otimes \epsilon_{n+1})d_{n+1}^{CH} =&(id_1 \otimes \epsilon_{n+1})\partial_0 - (id_1 \otimes \epsilon_{n+1})(id_1 \otimes d_n^{CB}) + (-1)^{n+1}(id_1 \otimes \epsilon_{n+1})\tau_{n+2}^{-1}\partial_0\\ =&-(id_1 \otimes d_n^{CE})(id_1 \otimes \epsilon_n) + (id_1 \otimes \epsilon_{n+1})\partial_0 - (-1)^n(id_1 \otimes \epsilon_{n+1})(id_1 \otimes \tau_{n+1}^{-1})(\tau_2 \otimes id_n)\partial_0\\ =&-(id_1 \otimes d_n^{CE})(id_1 \otimes \epsilon_n) + (id_1 \otimes \epsilon_{n+1})\partial_0 - (id_1 \otimes \epsilon_{n+1})(\tau_2 \otimes id_n)\partial_0\\ =&-(id_1 \otimes d_n^{CE})(id_1 \otimes \epsilon_n) + (id_1 \otimes \epsilon_{n+1})(\rho_1 \otimes id_n)\end{aligned}$$

by using the fact that $d_n^{CE}\epsilon_n = \epsilon_{n+1}d_n^{CB}$ for any $n \geq 0$. Then by using Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 6.6 we get

$$\begin{aligned}(id_1 \otimes \epsilon_{n+1})d_{n+1}^{CH} =&-(id_1 \otimes d_n^{CE})(id_1 \otimes \epsilon_n) + (id_2 \otimes \epsilon_n)(d_1^{CE} \otimes id_n)\\ &+\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^j(id_1 \otimes \tau_{j+1}^{-1} \otimes id_{n-j})(id_2 \otimes \epsilon_n)(\rho_1 \otimes id_n)\\ =&(d_1^{CE} \otimes id_n)(id_1 \otimes \epsilon_n) - (id_1 \otimes d_n^{CE})(id_1 \otimes \epsilon_n)\\ &+\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^j(id_1 \otimes \tau_{j+1}^{-1} \otimes id_{n-j})(\rho_1 \otimes id_n)(id_1 \otimes \epsilon_n)\\ =&d_{n+1}^{CE}(id_1 \otimes \epsilon_n)\end{aligned}$$

by using the fact that

$$\epsilon_{n+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^j (\tau_{j+1}^{-1} \otimes id_{n-j})(id_1 \otimes \epsilon_n) \tag{6.8}$$

whose proof is similar to Lemma 6.6. The fact that the morphism we defined is a morphism of differential graded $Lie(C)$–comodules follows from Lemma 6.5. ☐

Corollary 6.9. *There is a commutative diagram of differential graded $Lie(C)$–comodules of the form*

$$\begin{tikzcd} CB_*(C) \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "N_*"'] & CB_*(C) \arrow[d, "\epsilon_*"] \\ CH_*(C) \arrow[r, "(id_1 \otimes \epsilon_{*-1})"'] & CE_*(Lie(C)) \end{tikzcd} \tag{6.9}$$

The algebra version of Corollary 6.9 is proven by by J.M. Lodder in [14].

Lemma 6.10. *$ker(\epsilon_*)$ is a two sided ideal of $CB_*(C)$.*

Proof. Take Ψ from $ker(\epsilon_n)$ and Φ from $CB_m(C)$ arbitrary. Consider

$$n!\epsilon_{n+m}(\Psi \otimes \Phi) = \epsilon_{n+m}(\epsilon_n \otimes id_m)(\Psi \otimes \Phi) = 0 \tag{6.10}$$

So, $\Psi \otimes \Phi$ belongs to $ker(\epsilon_*)$, i.e. $ker(\epsilon_*)$ is a right ideal. The proof that $ker(\epsilon_*)$ is a left ideal is similar. ☐

Definition 6.11. Define a graded commutator by letting

$$[\Psi, \Phi] = (\Psi \otimes \Phi) + (-1)^{nm}(\Phi \otimes \Psi) \tag{6.11}$$

for any $\Psi \in CB_n(C)$ and $\Phi \in CB_m(C)$. Let $[CB_*(C), CB_*(C)]$ denote the graded sub-module of graded commutators.

Lemma 6.12. *$[CB_*(C), CB_*(C)] = ker(N_*)$. Therefore $[CB_*(C), CB_*(C)]$ is a differential graded $Lie(C)$–sub-comodule of $CB_*(C)$.*

Proof. For any $\Psi \in CB_n(C)$ and $\Phi \in CB_m(C)$ we have

$$(-1)^{(n+m-1)n}\tau_{n+m}^{-n}(\Psi \otimes \Phi) = (-1)^{nm}(\Phi \otimes \Psi)$$

which means $[\Psi, \Phi]$ is in the image of $(id_{n+m} - (-1)^{(n+m-1)n}\tau_{n+m}^{-n})$ which is in $ker(N_*)$ since

$$(-1)^{n+m-1}\tau_{n+m}N_{n+m} = N_{n+m} \tag{6.12}$$

for any n, m from $\mathbb{N}$. Conversely, assume Ψ is in $ker(N_*)$ which is equal to $im(t_*)$ by Theorem 6.3. Then Ψ is of the form $\Psi = (id_n - (-1)^{n-1}\tau_n)\Psi'$ for some Ψ' from $CB_*(C)$. Anything which lies in the image of $(id_n - (-1)^{n-1}\tau_n)$ lies in the submodule $[CB_*(C), CB_*(C)]$. This finishes the proof. ☐

Lemma 6.13. *$[CB_*(C), CB_*(C)]$ is contained in $ker(\epsilon_*)$.*

Proof. The ideal $ker(\epsilon_*)$ is generated by elements of the form $(1 - \text{sgn}(\sigma)\sigma)\Psi$ where Ψ is from $CB_n(C)$ and σ is from Σ_n. Moreover $ker(N_*) = im(t_*)$ thus is generated by elements of the form $(1 - \text{sgn}(\tau_n)\tau_n)$. ☐

Definition 6.14. Define a new differential graded $Lie(C)$–comodule $CC^{\lambda}_{*}(C)$ by letting

$$CC^{\lambda}_{*}(C)[+1] := CB_*(C)/[CB_*(C), CB_*(C)] \cong im(N_*)$$

We will call this complex as the cyclic complex associated with the coassociative coalgebra C.

Remark 6.15. A coassociative coalgebra is called H–counital if $CB_*(C)$ has trivial homology. As one can observe, if C is counital then it is H–counital. Moreover, If C is H–counital, then the double complex we described above in Theorem 6.3 is homotopic to $CC^{\lambda}_{*}(C)$,

Definition 6.16. Define a new differential graded $Lie(C)$–comodule $CE^{\mathrm{sym}}_{*}(Lie(C))$ by letting

$$CE^{\mathrm{sym}}_{*}(Lie(C)) := CB_*(C)/ker(\epsilon_*) \cong im(\epsilon_*)$$

We will call this complex as the Chevalley–Eilenberg–Lie complex associated with the Lie coalgebra $Lie(C)$.

Definition 6.17. Let $\Sigma_{(p,q)}$ be the set of (p,q)–shuffles in Σ_{p+q} [11, Appendix A]. Define two elements from $k[\Sigma_{p+q}]$ as

$$\epsilon^{(p,q)} = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{(p,q)}} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)\sigma \tag{6.13}$$

$$\epsilon_{(p,q)} = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{(p,q)}} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)\sigma^{-1} \tag{6.14}$$

for any $p, q \geq 1$.

Lemma 6.18. *For any $p, q \geq 1$ one has $\epsilon_{p+q} = (\epsilon_p \otimes \epsilon_q)\epsilon^{(p,q)}$ and therefore $\epsilon_{p+q} = \epsilon_{(p,q)}(\epsilon_p \otimes \epsilon_q)$*

Proof. We claim that there is a unique element $\epsilon^{(p,q)} \in k[\Sigma_{p+q}]$ determined by the following two conditions: (i) $(\epsilon_p \otimes \epsilon_q)\epsilon^{(p,q)} = \epsilon_{p+q}$ and more importantly (ii) $\sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(p)$ and $\sigma(p+1) < \cdots < \sigma(p+q)$ for any σ appearing as a summand in $\epsilon^{(p,q)}$. Consider $\Sigma_p \times \Sigma_q$ as a subgroup of Σ_{p+q} and consider its right coset space $(\Sigma_p \times \Sigma_q)\backslash\Sigma_{p+q}$. The element $\epsilon^{(p,q)}$ will be the sum $\sum_\sigma \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)\sigma$ where the sum is taken over unique representatives σ from each right coset of $\Sigma_p \times \Sigma_q$. So the first condition is satisfied. Assume $(\Sigma_p \times \Sigma_q)\sigma$ be a right coset and consider the following disjoint union

$$\{\sigma(1), \ldots, \sigma(p)\} \sqcup \{\sigma(p+1), \ldots, \sigma(p+q)\}$$

of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. One must see that, there is a unique element $(\gamma, \gamma') \in \Sigma_p \times \Sigma_q \subseteq \Sigma_{p+q}$ such that

$$\sigma(\gamma(1)) < \cdots < \sigma(\gamma(p))$$
$$\sigma(\gamma'(1) + p) < \cdots < \sigma(\gamma'(q) + p)$$

which proves that every element σ can be chosen uniquely from $\Sigma_{(p,q)}$ thus

$$(\Sigma_p \times \Sigma_q)\Sigma_{(p,q)} = \Sigma_{p+q} \tag{6.15}$$

This finishes the proof. ☐

Definition 6.19. Let X be a k–module. Define the shuffle product on the graded k–module $\{X^{\otimes n}\}_{n \geq 1}$ as

$$\mu^{sh}_{p,q}(\Psi \otimes \Phi) = \epsilon_{(p,q)}(\Psi \otimes \Phi) \tag{6.16}$$

for any Ψ from $X^{\otimes p}$ and Φ from $X^{\otimes q}$.

Theorem 6.20. *$CE^{sym}_*(Lie(C))$ is a differential graded commutative algebra with respect to the shuffle product. Moreover, $CB_*(C) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_*} CE^{sym}_*(Lie(C))$ is an epimorphism of differential graded algebras.*

Proof. Take $\epsilon_p(\Psi)$ from $CE^{\mathrm{sym}}_p(Lie(C))$ and $\epsilon_q(\Phi)$ from $CE^{\mathrm{sym}}_q(Lie(C))$. Consider

$$\epsilon_{(p,q)}(\epsilon_p(\Psi) \otimes \epsilon_q(\Phi)) = \epsilon_{(p,q)}(\epsilon_p \otimes \epsilon_q)(\Psi \otimes \Phi) = \epsilon_{p+q}(\Psi \otimes \Phi)$$

for any Ψ from $CB_p(C)$ and Φ from $CB_q(C)$. This means the shuffle product of any two element from $CE^{\mathrm{sym}}_*(Lie(C))$ is again in $CE^{\mathrm{sym}}_*(Lie(C))$. Moreover, since we have

$$\epsilon_{(q,p)}(\epsilon_q(\Phi) \otimes \epsilon_p(\Psi)) = \epsilon_{p+q}(\Phi \otimes \Psi) = \epsilon_{p+q}\tau^{-q}_{p+q}(\Psi \otimes \Phi) = (-1)^{pq}\epsilon_{(p,q)}(\epsilon_p(\Psi) \otimes \epsilon_q(\Phi))$$

one can see that the shuffle product is graded commutative. Now, consider

$$\begin{aligned} d^{CE}_{p+q}\epsilon_{(p,q)}(\epsilon_p(\Psi) \otimes \epsilon_q(\Phi)) =& d^{CE}_{p+q}\epsilon_{p+q}(\Psi \otimes \Phi) \\ =& \epsilon_{p+q+1}d^{CB}_{p+q}(\Psi \otimes \Phi) \\ =& \epsilon_{p+q+1}(d^{CB}_p(\Psi) \otimes \Phi) + (-1)^p\epsilon_{p+q+1}(\Psi \otimes d^{CB}_q(\Phi)) \\ =& \epsilon_{(p+1,q)}(\epsilon_{p+1}d^{CB}_p(\Psi) \otimes \epsilon_q\Phi) + (-1)^p\epsilon_{(p,q+1)}(\epsilon_p(\Psi) \otimes \epsilon_{q+1}d^{CB}_q(\Phi)) \\ =& \epsilon_{(p+1,q)}(d^{CE}_p\epsilon_p(\Psi) \otimes \epsilon_q(\Phi)) + (-1)^p\epsilon_{(p,q+1)}(\epsilon_p(\Psi) \otimes d^{CE}_q\epsilon_q(\Phi)) \end{aligned}$$

as we wanted to show. This finishes the proof. ☐

Theorem 6.21. *$CE^{sym}_*(Lie(C))$ is a graded cocommutative coalgebra with respect to the deconcatenation coproduct.*

Proof. Recall that $\epsilon_{p+q} = (\epsilon_p \otimes \epsilon_q)\epsilon^{(p,q)}$. This means

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\epsilon_n\Psi) =& \sum_{p+q=n} \Delta_{(p,q)}(\epsilon_p \otimes \epsilon_q)\epsilon^{(p,q)}\Psi \\ =& \sum_{p+q=n} \sum_{\Phi_{(1)}\otimes\Phi_{(2)}=\epsilon^{(p,q)}\Psi} \epsilon_p\Phi_{(1)} \otimes \epsilon_q\Phi_{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

implying $\Delta(CE^{\mathrm{sym}}_*(Lie(C))) \subseteq CE^{\mathrm{sym}}_*(Lie(C)) \otimes CE^{\mathrm{sym}}_*(Lie(C))$ as we wanted to show. Moreover

$$\Delta^{op}(\epsilon_n\Psi) = \sum_{p+q=n} \sum_{\Phi_{(1)}\otimes\Phi_{(2)}=\epsilon^{(p,q)}\Psi} \epsilon_q\Phi_{(2)} \otimes \epsilon_p\Phi_{(1)}$$

Since $\Phi_{(2)} \otimes \Phi_{(1)} = \tau^{-p}_{p+q}(\Phi_{(1)} \otimes \Phi_{(2)})$ and $\tau^{-p}_{p+q}\epsilon^{(p,q)}\tau^{p}_{p+q} = \epsilon^{(q,p)}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{op}(\epsilon_n\Psi) =& \sum_{p+q=n} \sum_{\Phi_{(2)}\otimes\Phi_{(1)}=\epsilon^{(q,p)}\tau^{-p}_{p+q}(\Psi)} \big(\epsilon_q\Phi_{(2)} \otimes \epsilon_p\Phi_{(1)}\big) \\ =& \Delta(\epsilon_n\tau^{-p}_{p+q}\Psi) \\ =& (-1)^{pq}\Delta(\epsilon_n\Psi) \end{aligned}$$

which proves the graded cocommutativity. ☐

Remark 6.22. According to [11, Appendix A] the collection $CE^{\mathrm{sym}}_*(Lie(C))$ is a graded Hopf algebra with respect to the multiplication and comultiplication structures we defined above. According to [16], the graded Hopf algebra $CE^{\mathrm{sym}}_*(Lie(C))$ is generated by the submodule of primitive elements as an exterior algebra. The submodule of primitive elements consists of degree 1 elements which fails to be a differential graded submodule. Thus, this result can not be extended to a generation result as a **differential** graded Hopf algebra.

7 Miscellanea

Definition 7.1. Let $M^c_n(k)$ be the free k–module generated by symbols of the form $\{e_{ij}\}^n_{i,j=1}$. The comultiplication on the generators are defined as

$$\Delta(e_{ij}) = \sum_a e_{ia} \otimes e_{aj} \tag{7.1}$$

for any e_{ij} from the basis. One can easily see that

$$(\Delta \otimes id)\Delta(e_{ij}) = \sum_{a,b} e_{ia} \otimes e_{ab} \otimes e_{bj} = (id \otimes \Delta)\Delta(e_{ij})$$

for any e_{ij} from the basis, hence $M^c_n(k)$ is a coassociative coalgebra. Define also a morphism $M^c_n(k) \xrightarrow{\eta} k$ by

$$\eta(e_{ij}) = \delta^i_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{7.2}$$

Then

$$(\eta \otimes id)\Delta(e_{ij}) = \sum_a \delta^i_a e_{aj} = e_{ij} = \sum_a e_{ia}\delta^a_j = (id \otimes \eta)\Delta(e_{ij})$$

for any e_{ij} which means η is a counit.

Theorem 7.2. *Let X and Y be two coassociative counital coalgebras. Then there is a coassociative counital coalgebra structure on $X \otimes Y$.*

Proof. For any $x \otimes y$ from $X \otimes Y$ define

$$\Delta(x \otimes y) =(x_{(1)} \otimes y_{(1)}) \otimes (x_{(2)} \otimes y_{(2)}) \tag{7.3}$$

$$\eta(x \otimes y) =\eta(x)\eta(y) \tag{7.4}$$

It is easy to check that $X \otimes Y$ is a coassociative counital coalgebra. □

Corollary 7.3. *Let C be a coassociative counital coalgebra. Then one has coassociative counital coalgebras of the form $M^c_n(C)$ defined by $M^c_n(k) \otimes C$ for any $n \geq 1$.*

Corollary 7.4. *Let C be a coassociative coalgebra. Then one has Lie coalgebras of the form $gl^c_n(C)$ defined by $Lie(M^c_n(C))$ for any $n \geq 1$.*

Definition 7.5. Given any k–module X, define $X^\vee$ as $\mathrm{Hom}_k(X, k)$.

Lemma 7.6. *Let C be a coassociative (Leibniz) coalgebra. Then $C^\vee$ is a associative (resp. Leibniz) algebra.*

Proof. Let $C \xrightarrow{\delta} C \otimes C$ be the underlying coassociative (Leibniz) coalgebra structure. Then one has

$$\mathrm{Hom}_k(C, k) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_k(C, k) \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathrm{Hom}_k(C \otimes C, k) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hom}_k(\delta,k)} \mathrm{Hom}_k(C, k) \tag{7.5}$$

where μ is the pointwise multiplication map. The fact that $C^\vee$ is associative follows from the fact that C is coassociative and μ is associative. If C is a Leibniz coalgebra, then given any three f, g, h from $C^\vee$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}([[f, g], h] - [[f, h], g])(x) =& f(x_{[1][1]})g(x_{[1][2]})h(x_{[2]}) - f(x_{[1][1]})g(x_{[2]})h(x_{[1][2]}) && (7.6)\\ =& f(x_{[1]})g(x_{[2]]1]})h(x_{[2][2]}) && (7.7)\\ =& [f, [g, h]](x) && (7.8)\end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in C$ proving $C^\vee$ is a Leibniz algebra. □

Theorem 7.7. *Let C be a finite dimensional coassociative (Leibniz) coalgebra. Then the category of finite dimensional C–comodules is anti-equivalent to the category of finite dimensional $C^\vee$–modules through the functor $Hom_k(\cdot, k)$.*

Corollary 7.8. *Let L be a finite dimensional Leibniz coalgebra. Then for any finite dimensional right L–comodule X, one has $X^L \cong (X^\vee)_{L^\vee}$.*

Proof. Let $X \xrightarrow{\rho_X} X \otimes L$ be the underlying coalgebra structure. X^L is defined as $X^L = ker(\rho_X)$. For a Leibniz algebra G and a right G–module $Y \otimes G \xrightarrow{\rho_Y} Y$, the module Y_G is defined as $coker(\rho_Y)$. Since $(\cdot)^\vee$ is exact,

$$\Big(ker(\rho_X) \to X \xrightarrow{\rho_X} X \otimes L\Big)^\vee = \Big(coker(\rho_{X^\vee}) \leftarrow X^\vee \xleftarrow{\rho_{X^\vee}} X^\vee \otimes L^\vee\Big) \tag{7.9}$$

for any finite dimensional L–comodule X, as we wanted to show. □

Corollary 7.9. *Let $M_n^a(k)$ be the algebra of $n \times n$–matrices. Then $Hom_k(CB_*(M_n^a(k)), k) \cong CB_*(M_n^c(k))$. Moreover, $Hom_k(CB_*(M_n^a(k))_{gl_n(k)}, k) \cong CB_*(M_n^c(k))^{gl_n^c(k)}$*

Corollary 7.10. *Any finite dimensional $gl_n^c(k)$–comodule X splits as $X^{gl_n^c(k)} \oplus X^{rest}$.*

Proof. The dual $gl_n^c(k)^\vee$ is the Lie algebra $gl_n(k)$. And since $gl_n(k)$ is a reductive Lie algebra, the result follows. □

8 Loday–Quillen–Tsygan Theorem

The differential graded module $CB_*(C)$ is actually a cosimplicial k–module. Thus, the total complex of the bicosimplicial k–module $CB_*(C) \otimes CB_*(M_n^c(k))$ is homotopic to the diagonal cosimplicial module $diag(CB_*(C) \otimes CB_*(M_n^c(k)))$ which is $CB_*(M_n^c(C))$. We also know from Theorem 3.4 that $CB_*(M_n^c(k))$ has a $gl_n^c(k) = Lie(M_n^c(k))$–comodule structure and this coaction is null-homotopic. Corollary 7.10 implies that the differential graded k–module $CB_*(M_n^c(k))^{gl_n^c(k)}$ is a direct summand of $CB_*(M_n^c(k))$ as a differential graded $gl^c_n(k)$–comodules. Then we can conclude that $CB_*(M^c_n(k))^{gl^c_n(k)}$ is homotopic to the complex $CB_*(M^c_n(k))$ since both complexes are contractible. By using Corollary 7.9 we conclude

$$CB_*(M^c_n(C)) \simeq diag\,(CB_*(C) \otimes J^*_n) \tag{8.1}$$

where J^*_n denotes the differential graded k–module $\mathrm{Hom}_k(CB_*(M^a_n(k))_{gl_n(k)}, k)$. Now, according to [11, Chapter 9] Weyl's invariant theory provides us

$$\left(M^a_n(k)^{\otimes m}\right)_{gl_n(k)} \cong k[\Sigma^{ad}_m] \tag{8.2}$$

for $n \geq m$ where $k[\Sigma^{ad}_m]$ denotes the Σ_m–module $k[\Sigma_m]$ with the adjoint action. This immediately implies that for $n \geq m$ one has

$$J^m_n \cong k[\Sigma^{ad}_m]^\vee \cong k[\Sigma^{ad}_m] \tag{8.3}$$

since $k[\Sigma^{ad}_m]$ is a finite Σ_m–module.

Consider the projective system of coalgebras $M^c_{n+1}(k) \xrightarrow{p_{n+1}} M^c_n(k)$ where

$$p_n(e_{ij}) = \begin{cases} e_{ij} & \text{if } 1 \leq i, j \leq n \\ 0 & \text{if } i = n+1 \text{ or } j = n+1 \end{cases} \tag{8.4}$$

which induces a projective system of differential graded modules $CB_*(M^c_{n+1}(k)) \xrightarrow{p_{n+1}} CB_*(M^c_n(k))$ and also $J^*_{n+1} := CB_*(M^c_{n+1}(k))^{gl^c_{n+1}(k)} \xrightarrow{p_{n+1}} CB_*(M^c_n(k))^{gl^c_n(k)} =: J^*_n$. Since each p_* is surjective, the system satisfies Mittag–Leffler condition [21, pg 82]. Thus

$$0 = \lim_n H_*(J^*_n) \cong H_*(\lim_n J^*_n) \tag{8.5}$$

The contractible differential graded algebra $CB_*(M^c_n(C))$ is homotopic to the diagonal cosimplicial module $diag(CB_*(C) \otimes J^*_n)$ which in turn is homotopic to $diag(CB_*(C) \otimes J^*)$ where $J^* = \lim_n J^*_n$. The morphisms $J^*_{n+1} \xrightarrow{p_{n+1}} J^*_n$ are easy to describe:

$$p_{n+1}(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \sigma & \text{if } \sigma \in \Sigma_n \subseteq \Sigma_{n+1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{8.6}$$

which means $J^* \cong k[\Sigma^{ad}_*]$. Thus, an element Ψ from $diag(CB_m(C) \otimes J^m)$ is of the form $\sum_i (c^1_i \otimes \cdots \otimes c^m_i \otimes \sigma_i)$ for some c^j_i from C and σ_i from Σ^{ad}_m. Then one can define a coassociative cocommutative coproduct

$$\sum_i \sum_{P \sqcup Q = \mathbf{n},\ \sigma_i(P) = P} (\mathbf{c}^P_i \otimes \sigma^P_i) \otimes (\mathbf{c}^Q_i \otimes \sigma^Q_i) \tag{8.7}$$

where $\mathbf{n} = \{1 < \cdots < n\}$ and the sum is taken over all partitions $P \sqcup Q = \mathbf{n}$ of $\mathbf{n}$ such that $\sigma_i(P) = P$. The element σ^P_i denotes σ_i considered as a set endomorphism of $\mathbf{n}$ restricted to the subset P. For a subset $P = \{p_1 < \cdots < p_m\}$ of $\mathbf{n}$ the element $\mathbf{c}^P_i$ is defined to be $c^{p_1}_i \otimes \cdots c^{p_m}_i$. We also define a product structure

$$(\mathbf{c} \otimes \sigma) \cdot (\mathbf{d} \otimes \delta) = (\mathbf{c} \otimes \mathbf{d} \otimes \sigma \oplus \delta) \tag{8.8}$$

where $(\mathbf{c} \otimes \sigma)$ and $(\mathbf{d} \otimes \delta)$ are arbitrary elements from $diag(CB_*(C) \otimes k[\Sigma^{ad}_*]$. We define $\sigma \oplus \delta$ as

$$(\sigma \oplus \delta)(i) = \begin{cases} \sigma(i) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq p \\ \delta(i-p) + p & \text{if } p+1 \leq i \leq p+q \end{cases} \tag{8.9}$$

where σ is from Σ_p and δ is from Σ_q.

Passing to the anti-symmetrization of $diag(CB_*(C)\otimes k[\Sigma_*^{ad}])$, one considers the collection

$$\epsilon_m(C^{\otimes m}\otimes k[\Sigma_m^{ad}]) \cong {}_{\Sigma_m}(C^{\otimes m}\otimes k[\Sigma_m^{ad}]) \cong C^{\otimes m}\underset{\Sigma_m}{\otimes} k[\Sigma_m^{ad}] \tag{8.10}$$

for $m \geq 1$. We must prove that the coproduct we defined above extends to the anti-symmetrization. For this purpose consider $\delta \in \Sigma_m$ and $\mathbf{c} = (c^1\otimes\cdots\otimes c^m)$ from $CB_m(C)$. Then $\mathbf{c}\cdot\delta = c^{\delta(1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes c^{\delta(n)}$ and

$$\Delta(\mathbf{c}\cdot\delta\otimes\sigma) = \sum_{P\sqcup Q=\mathbf{n},\ \sigma(P)=P} ((\mathbf{c}\cdot\delta)^P\otimes\sigma^P)\otimes((\delta\cdot\mathbf{c})^Q\otimes\sigma^Q) \tag{8.11}$$

$$= \sum_{\delta(P)\sqcup\delta(Q)=\delta(\mathbf{n}),\ {}^{\delta}\sigma(\delta(P))=\delta(P)} (\mathbf{c}^{\delta(P)}\otimes({}^{\delta}\sigma)^{\delta(P)})\otimes(\mathbf{c}^{\delta(Q)}\otimes({}^{\delta}\sigma)^{\delta(Q)}) \tag{8.12}$$

$$= \sum_{U\sqcup V=\mathbf{n},\ {}^{\delta}\sigma(U)=U} (\mathbf{c}^U\otimes({}^{\delta}\sigma)^U)\otimes(\mathbf{c}^V\otimes({}^{\delta}\sigma)^V) \tag{8.13}$$

$$=\Delta(\mathbf{c}\otimes{}^{\delta}\sigma) \tag{8.14}$$

which proves that the comultiplication extends to the new setting. It is easy to see that the multiplication we defined earlier extends to the anti-symmetrization too.

The differential graded algebra $diag(CB_*(C)\underset{\Sigma_*}{\otimes} k[\Sigma_*^{ad}])$ which consists of $\{C^{\otimes m}\underset{\Sigma_m}{\otimes} k[\Sigma_m]\}_{m\geq 1}$ is homotopic to $\lim_n CE_*^{\mathrm{sym}}(gl_n^c(C))$. Note that the induced projective system of differential graded k–modules $CE_*^{\mathrm{sym}}(gl_{n+1}^c(C)) \xrightarrow{p_{n+1}} CE_*^{\mathrm{sym}}(gl_n^c(C))$ still satisfies Mittag–Leffler condition. Define $gl^c(C) = \lim_n gl_n^c(C)$ and observe that

$$\lim_n CE_*^{\mathrm{sym}}(gl_n^c(C)) \cong CE_*^{\mathrm{sym}}(\lim_n gl_n^c(C)) \simeq diag(CB_*(C)\underset{\Sigma_*}{\otimes} k[\Sigma_*^{ad}]) \tag{8.15}$$

According to [11, 10.2.15] the collection $\{C^{\otimes m}\otimes k[\Sigma_m^{ad}]\}_{m\geq 1}$ is a cocommutative Hopf algebra with respect to the coproduct and product structures defined above. Then $CE_*^{\mathrm{sym}}(gl^c(C)) \simeq \{C^{\otimes m}\underset{\Sigma_m}{\otimes} k[\Sigma_m^{ad}]\}_{m\geq 1}$ is a graded commutative/cocommutative Hopf algebra. According to [16] the Hopf algebra $CE_*^{\mathrm{sym}}(gl^c(C))$ is generated as an exterior algebra over the submodule of primitive elements. From the definition of the coproduct one can see that elements of the form $\sum_i(\mathbf{c}_i\otimes\sigma_i)$ are primitive where σ_i is in the conjugacy class of the element τ_m and $\mathbf{c}$ is in $C^{\otimes m}$. With this description, one immediately sees that the submodule of primitive elements is also a differential graded submodule. If $Cl(\tau_m)$ denotes the conjugacy class of the element τ_m and C_{τ_m} denotes the subgroup of centralizers of τ_m in Σ_m. Then we have

$$Prim_m CE_*^{\mathrm{sym}}(gl^c(C)) = C^{\otimes m}\underset{\Sigma_m}{\otimes} k[Cl(\tau_m)] \cong C^{\otimes m}\underset{\Sigma_m}{\otimes} k[\Sigma_m/C_{\tau_m}] \cong C^{\otimes m}\underset{\Sigma_m}{\otimes} k[\Sigma_m]\underset{C_{\tau_m}}{\otimes} k \tag{8.16}$$

which is a differential graded submodule of $CE_*^{\mathrm{sym}}(gl^c(C))$. However, the subgroup of centralizers of τ_m is C_m the cyclic group generated by τ_m. Therefore

$$Prim_m CE_*^{\mathrm{sym}}(gl^c(C)) \cong C^{\otimes m}\underset{C_m}{\otimes} k \cong CC_m^{\lambda}(C)[+1] \tag{8.17}$$

as differential graded modules. Moreover, since the submodule of primitive elements is a differential graded submodule and since k is a field of characteristic 0 we get

$$H_*^{\mathrm{Lie}}(gl^c(C)) := H_*CE_*^{\mathrm{sym}}(gl^c(C)) \cong H_*\Lambda^*CC_*^{\lambda}(C)[+1] \cong \Lambda^*HC_*(C)[+1] \tag{8.18}$$

References

[1] R. Aboughazi and C. Ogle. On Chevalley-Eilenberg and cyclic homologies. *J. Alg.*, 166(2):317–339, 1994.

[2] A. Connes and H. Moscovici. Hopf algebras, cyclic cohomology and transverse index theorem. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 198:199–246, 1998.

[3] M. Crainic. Cyclic cohomology of Hopf algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Alg.*, 166(1-2):29–66, 2002.

[4] B. Cuartero, J. E. Galé, and A. M. Slinko. Linearly compact algebraic Lie algebras and coalgebraic Lie coalgebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 125(7):1945–1952, 1997.

[5] C. Cuvier. Homologie de Leibniz et homologie de Hochschild. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math.*, 313(9):569–572, 1991.

[6] B. Fresse. Cogroups in algebras over an operad are free algebras. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 73(4):637–676, 1998.

[7] P. M. Hajac, M. Khalkhali, B. Rangipour, and Y. Sommerhäuser. Hopf–cyclic homology and cohomology with coefficients. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 338(9):667–672, 2004.

[8] V. Hinich. Tamarkin's proof of Kontsevich formality theorem. *Forum Math.*, 15(4):591–614, 2003.

[9] Atabey Kaygun. Bialgebra cyclic homology with coefficients, Part I. Preprint at `arXiv:math.KT/0408094`, August 2004.

[10] M. Livernet. Rational homotopy of Leibniz algebras. *Manuscripta Math.*, 96(3):295–315, 1998.

[11] J-L. Loday. *Cyclic Homology.* Number 301 in Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1992.

[12] J-L. Loday. Une version non commutative des algèbres de Lie: les algèbres de Leibniz. *Enseign. Math.*, 39(2):269–293, 1993.

[13] J-L. Loday and D. Quillen. Cyclic homology and the Lie algebra homology of matrices. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 59(4):569–591, 1984.

[14] J.M. Lodder. From Leibniz homology to cyclic homology. *K–theory*, 27:359–370, 2002.

[15] W. Michaelis. Lie coalgebras. *Adv. in Math.*, 38(1):1–54, 1980.

[16] J. Milnor and J. Moore. On the structure of Hopf algebras. *Ann. Math.*, 81:211–264, 1965.

[17] J-M. Oudom. Coproduct and cogroups in the category of graded dual Leibniz algebras. In *Operads: Proceedings of Renaissance conferences*, volume 202 of *Contemporary Mathematics*, pages 115–136. American Mathematical Society, 1997.

[18] Z. Oziewicz, E. Paal, and J. Różański. Coalgebra, cocomposition and cohomology. In *Non-associative algebra and its applications (Oviedo, 1993)*, pages 314–322, 1994.

[19] D. E. Tamarkin. Another proof of M. Kontsevich formality theorem. preprint at `arXiv:math.QA/9803025`, 1998.

[20] B. Tsygan. Homology of matrix algebras over rings and the Hochschild homology. *Uspeki Math. Nauk.*, 38:217–218, 1983.

[21] C. A. Weibel. *An Introduction to Homological Algebra.* Number 38 in Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 1995.

Department of Mathematics, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA
E-mail address: `kaygun@math.ohio-state.edu`